

Asymptotics

Motivation

- Fine-grained bean counting exposes too much detail for comparing functions.
- Want a course-grained way to compare functions that ignores constant factors and focuses on their relative growth in the limit as input sizes get large.
- For example, consider:

	$n = 1$	$n = 1,000$	$n = 1,000,000$
$p(n) = 100n + 1000$			
$q(n) = 3n^2 + 2n + 1$			
$r(n) = 0.1n^2$			

Sketch the above functions on the same set of axes:

How Do Your Functions Grow?

Asymptotic notation is a way of characterizing functions that facilitates comparing their growth in the limit of large inputs. Here is an informal summary of the notation:

Notation	Pronunciation	Loosely
$f \in \omega(g)$	f is way bigger than g	$f > g$
$f \in \Omega(g)$	f is at least as big as g	$f \geq g$
$f \in \Theta(g)$	f is about the same as g	$f = g$
$f \in O(g)$	f is at most as big as g	$f \leq g$
$f \in o(g)$	f is way smaller than g	$f < g$

Notes:

- Each of $\omega(g)$, $\Omega(g)$, $\Theta(g)$, $O(g)$, $o(g)$ denotes a *set* of functions. Thus, $\omega(g)$ is the set of all functions way bigger than g, $\Omega(g)$ is the set of all functions at least as big as g, etc.
- The notation $f = \omega(g)$ is really shorthand for $f \in \omega(g)$, and similarly for Ω, Θ, O, o .
- The phrases “is at least $O(\dots)$ ” and “is at most $\Omega(\dots)$ ” are non-sensical. “Is at least” should be written Ω and “is at most” should be written O .

Intuitively, what are the relationships between p, q, and r?

Relating the Notations

Here are some of the relationships between the notations:

1. If $f \in \omega(g)$, then $f \in \Omega(g)$.
2. If $f \in o(g)$, then $f \in O(g)$.
3. $\Omega(g) \supset (\omega(g) \cup \Theta(g))$.
4. $O(g) \supset (o(g) \cup \Theta(g))$.
5. $\Theta(g) = (\Omega(g) \cap O(g))$.
6. $f \in \omega(g)$ iff $g \in o(f)$.
7. $f \in \Omega(g)$ iff $g \in O(f)$.
8. $f \in \Theta(g)$ iff $g \in \Theta(f)$.

Warning: unlike numbers, not every pair of functions is comparable! (see the later example).

Use a Venn diagram to depict relationships 1–5.

Formalizing o and ω

$f \in o(g)$ iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \right) = 0$
$f \in \omega(g)$ iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \right) = \infty$

Examples:

- Show $p \in o(r)$.
- Show $r \in \omega(p)$.

Formalizing O

$O(g)$	=	The set of all functions f such that there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that $0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$.
--------	---	--

This can also be expressed more succinctly in purely mathematical notation as:

$O(g)$	=	$\{f \mid \exists c > 0, n_0 > 0 . \forall n \geq n_0 . 0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)\}$
--------	---	--

Think of this as a game. If you claim that $f \in O(g)$, then you must select a particular c and n_0 . Then your opponent tries to find a particular n that defeats your claim.

Examples of O

- Show $p \in O(q)$.
 1. use $c = 1, n_0 = 1000$.
 2. use $c = 1000, n_0 = 1$.
- Can we show $q \in O(p)$?
- Show $r \in O(q)$ (use $c = 1, n_0 = 1$).
- Show $q \in O(r)$ (use $c = 40$; what must n_0 be?).

Formalizing Ω and Θ

$\Omega(g)$	=	The set of all functions f such that there exist positive constants c and n_0 such that $0 \leq c \cdot g(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$.
-------------	---	--

$\Theta(g)$	=	The set of all functions f such that there exist positive constants c_1, c_2 and n_0 such that $0 \leq c_1 \cdot g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 \cdot g(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$.
-------------	---	---

A Trick for Showing Θ

The following fact is often handy for showing that two functions are related by Θ :

$$\boxed{\text{If } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \right) = k > 0, \text{ then } f \in \Theta(g).}$$

Example: Use the above fact to show that $q \in \Theta(r)$ and $r \in \Theta(q)$.

The converse of the above limit trick is not true. That is, although the limit trick works most of the time to show that two functions are related by Θ , there are some Θ relationships that cannot be shown by the limit trick. E.g., $f(n) = 2 + \sin(n)$ and $g(n) = 2$.

Does anything Fall Between the Cracks?

The Venn diagram relating ω , Ω , Θ , O , and o implies that there are functions that are $O(g)$ that are neither $o(g)$ nor $\Theta(g)$, and there are functions that are $\Omega(g)$ that are neither $\omega(g)$ nor $\Theta(g)$.

Here's a concrete example:

- $f(n) = \frac{1}{n}$
- $g(n) = n$
- $h(n) = n^{\sin(n)}$

Show that $h \in O(g)$, but $h \notin o(g)$ and $h \notin \Theta(g)$. (Similarly, $h \in \Omega(f)$, but $h \notin \omega(f)$ and $h \notin \Theta(f)$).

Incomparable Functions

Not every two functions are comparable via ω , Ω , Θ , O , and o .

Example: Show that $k(n) = \sqrt{n}$ is unrelated to $h(n)$ above.

Exponentials

Notation:

- a^n = the product of n copies of a .
- $a^{-n} = \frac{1}{a^n}$.

Key Identities:

- $a^m \cdot a^n = a^{m+n}$. (Special case: $a^0 = 1$.)
- $(a^m)^n = a^{m \cdot n} = (a^n)^m$.

Examples:

- $(5^2)^3 =$
- $5^2 \cdot 5^3 =$
- $5^2 + 5^3 =$
- $25^{\frac{3}{2}} =$

Relating Exponentials

Suppose:

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= 2^n \\ g(n) &= 3^n \\ h(n) &= 2^{cn} \\ k(n) &= 2^{c+n} \end{aligned}$$

What symbols can fill the following blanks?

1. $g \in$ (f)
2. $h \in$ $(f) (c < 1)$
3. $h \in$ $(f) (c = 1)$
4. $h \in$ $(f) (c > 1)$
5. $k \in$ $(f) (\text{anyc})$

Logarithms

Notation:

- $\log_b(a)$ = the power to which b must be raised to equal a . (More loosely, it is the number of times that a can be divided by b to reach 1.)
- $\log_b(1/a) = -\log_b(a)$
- $\lg n = \log_2 n$
- $\ln n = \log_e n$
- $\log_b^k(n) = (\log_b n)^k$

Key Identities (duals of exponential identities):

- $\log_c(a \cdot b) = \log_c(a) + \log_c(b)$
 - Special case: $\log_c(1) = 0$.
 - Special case: $\log_c(a^n) = n \cdot \log_c(a)$
- $\log_c(a) = \log_c(b) \cdot \log_b(a)$

Examples:

- $\lg(2n^3) =$
- $\ln(32) =$

Relating Exponentials and Logarithms

Key Identity:

- $b^{(\log_b(a))} = a = \log_b(b^a)$

Examples:

- $\lg \sqrt[3]{4} =$
- $32^{(\lg(n))} =$

Asymptotics Involving Exponentials and Logarithms

- How do $\log_2 n$ and $\log_3 n$ compare?

- How do 2^n and 3^n compare?

- Fact 1: if $a > 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{a^n}{n^b}\right) = \infty$. (Can show this via l'Hôpital's rule.)

Fact 1 implies $a^n \in \omega(n^b)$ if $a > 1$. In other words: *Any exponential with base > 1 grows faster than any polynomial.*

- Substituting $\lg n$ for n and 2^a for a in Fact 1 yields:

Fact 2: if $a > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n^a}{\lg^b n}\right) = \infty$.

Fact 2 implies $n^a \in \omega(\lg^b n)$ if $a > 0$. In other words: *Any positive polynomial grows faster than any polylogarithmic function.*

Factorials

- Definition: $n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n$

- Stirling's approximation: $n! \approx \sqrt{2\pi n} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$

- Asymptotics derivable from Stirling's approximation:

- $n! = o(n^n)$

- $n! = \omega(2^n)$

- $\lg(n!) = \Theta(n \cdot \lg n)$